# Aerospace – By the Numbers





# **Current Conditions Index**

- Producer Price Indexes of Aerospace Production and Transportation
- Civilian Aircraft Exports
- National Defense Investment in Aircraft
- Aerospace Industrial Production Index
- Aerospace Production Worker Wages and Employment
- Manufacturing Sector Labor Productivity





## Current conditions are now back to pre-pandemic levels



#### **Components:**

- Civilian Aircraft Exports,
- Aerospace Production Index,
- National Aerospace Defense Investment,
- Aerospace Worker Wages and Employment
- **2022** The index is up 7.1% over the previous year.
- Average Annual Growth 2.3% since 2010
- Although the index has not fully recovered to pre-pandemic, trend remains optimistic.





## **Contributions to the Current Market Conditions Index**



#### **Aerospace Production Worker Real Wages**



#### **Industrial Production Index**





**Civilian Aircraft Export Value** 









### Aerospace business costs accelerate over the last year.



#### **Trends In Past Year**

- CPI: 4.7%
- Private Worker Labor Cost: 3.6%
- Aircraft Manufacturing Labor Cost: 7.2%

#### Trends Since 2010

- CPI: 24.3%
- Private Worker Labor Cost: 30.0%
- Aircraft Manufacturing Labor Cost: 36.0%





# **Aerospace Potential Indexes**





## **Aerospace Growth Potential Index**



- 2010 2016 Great Recession recovery
- 2017 2020 Strong growth
  - 2020 COVID-19 shock
- 2021 Now Recovery and return to growth

#### **Components**

.

#### **General**

- Global and US Nominal GDP
- Corporate Profits
- Total Business Jet Operations
- **Commercial** 
  - Real Gross Domestic Product
  - Consumer Disposable Income
  - Consumer Spending Unemployment Rate

**CPI** – Airline Fares

- Airline Passenger Enplanements
- Military
- National Defense Expenditures
   US Debt/GDP Ratio
  - S&P Aerospace/Defense Index





# **General Aerospace Outlook**

- Global and US Nominal GDP
- Corporate Profits
- Total Business Jet Operations





## Aerospace Growth Potential Index: General Aerospace







### Global vs. US GDP



- Global GDP Since 2002 growth accelerated
- US GDP has consistently grown over the long-run

#### • US/Global GDP

- US share has decline over the last 60 years
- Now ¼ of the global economy



CEDBR

## **Corporate Profits are at historic highs**



- Total Profits accelerated post COVID
- Manufacturing currently experiencing the fastest growth in a decade

CEDBR

• Will Corporate Profits return as a leading indicator?



## **Business Jet Operations Trends**



Year/Year Change – significant and consistent growth in 2021

#### Jan-2022 Percent Change from Jan-2020

• **Total:** 11.8%

EDBR

- **Domestic:** 13.4%
- International: 3.1%





Note: Operations refer to arrivals and departures.

Business Jets are used for multiple purposes, including commuters, commerce, freight, specific business use, government, etc.



### Section Summary: General Aerospace

- General aerospace outlook entered its strongest growth potential over the past decade.
- It has grown both relative to 2010 and 2020 overall
- Strongest components are business jet operations and long-run GDP growth, both of which show little sign of slowing down in the long run.





# **Commercial Outlook**

- Real Gross Domestic Product
- Consumer Disposable Income
- Consumer Spending
- Unemployment Rate
- Airline Passenger Enplanements
- CPI Airline Fares





## Aerospace Growth Potential Index: Commercial







#### Economic activity has returned to the pre-pandemic trend



**GDP** has completely recovered, and an expanding economy should feed into commercial growth

۲

#### **GDP Component Growth, Percent Change from Previous Year**

Component	2020	2021
Total GDP	-3.4%	5.7%
Personal Consumption Expenditures	-3.8%	7.9%
Government Consumption Expenditures	2.5%	0.5%
Private Domestic Investment	-5.5%	9.8%
Exports	-13.6%	4.5%
Imports	-8.9%	14.0%
Source: CEDBR, BEA		





# The public "savings mentality" has ceased





Personal income in 2020 & 2021

EDBR

spiked are due to government support

 Consumption declined due to COVID, which increased the savings rate

- 2020 & 2021 Household consumption declined relative to income
- 2022 Pent-up demand and savings are fueling current growth



### Unemployment is back below 4%.



 Full employment indicates that households are in a better position and will help drive demand





### Enplanements are up and prices are still accommodative



 Enplanements of air passengers have rebounded from the pandemic, though remain slightly lower than prepandemic trends.  Airline Fare prices have been volatile throughout the pandemic but remain lower than pre-pandemic levels





### Section Summary: Commercial Aerospace

- Commercial aerospace has rebounded but not fully back to its pre-pandemic levels.
- Recent changes in global immunizations and risks associated with COVID bode well for the industry.
- Growth within this segment is now dependent on capacity, prices, and maintaining an expanding economy.
- Growth components of the index is driven by lower airline fares, strong employment levels, and the return to normal consumption patterns and inflation-adjusted GDP trends.





# **Military Outlook**

- National Defense Expenditures
- US Debt/GDP Ratio
- S&P Aerospace/Defense Index





## Aerospace Growth Potential Index: Military







### Aerospace Defense spending continues to grow



- Total spending has continued to increase overtime
- Defense spending had its strongest growth between 2000 and 2010 and has since moderated.

- Aerospace spending has grown by 111% since 2010, from \$15.2B to \$32.2B
- Share of aerospace spending has continued to increase over the decade



#### National Debt remains greatly elevated relative to GDP



- Although the share of Debtto-GDP has declined, it
   poses a dampening effect on
   long-term military aerospace
   consumption.
- Tapering since the 2020 peak
  is expected to continue,
  potentially setting up more
  favorable conditions in the
  future.





#### Aerospace Defense Index remains above pre-pandemic levels



- Index components: 33 defense/aerospace firms
- 2022 the index is up 31.7% over the previous year
- 5-year annual growth: 24.1%
- 10-year annual growth: 35.9%

 Although the index declined in recent months, the overall trend points to optimism





# **Labor Specialization**





#### Aerospace employment goes beyond a typical engineer

Aerospace Products and Parts Manufacturing Employment Share by Occupation Type, 2021				
Occupation Title	% of Employment			
Production Occupations	34.0%			
Architecture and Engineering Occupations	21.2%			
Business and Financial Operations Occupations	11.0%			
Computer and Mathematical Occupations	8.8%			
Management Occupations	7.2%			
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations	7.2%			
Office and Administrative Support Occupations	5.5%			
Transportation and Material Moving Occupations	2.1%			
Sales and Related Occupations	0.8%			
Construction and Extraction Occupations	0.6%			
Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations	0.5%			
Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations	0.4%			
Protective Service Occupations	0.3%			
Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations	0.3%			
Legal Occupations	0.1%			
Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations	0.04%			
Educational Instruction and Library Occupations	0.01%			
Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations	0.01%			
Source: BLS - OEWS				

 Production and engineering account for 55.2% of the aerospace occupations.

 44.8% of a typical aerospace firm are in other sectors like business, office support, and transportation.



### Wichita's labor market is optimized for aerospace

Wichita MSA Employment by Occupation Type, May 2021					
Occupation Type	Employment	<b>Location Quotient</b>	Annual Mean Wage		
Production	26,490	1.56	\$46,670		
Architecture and Engineering	7,150	1.46	\$84,290		
Personal Care and Service	6,630	1.28	\$28,160		
Installation, Maintenance, and Repair	13,680	1.22	\$51,590		
Construction and Extraction	13,400	1.14	\$48,330		
Food Preparation and Serving Related	25,090	1.11	\$24,360		
Educational Instruction and Library	18,140	1.10	\$47,610		
Healthcare Practitioners and Technical	17,830	1.01	\$78,230		
Office and Administrative Support	37,170	1.01	\$38,330		
Healthcare Support	13,330	1.00	\$28,340		
Community and Social Service	4,400	0.98	\$45,600		
Sales and Related	25,110	0.94	\$39,540		
Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance	7,570	0.91	\$29,740		
Transportation and Material Moving	22,000	0.86	\$37,980		
Business and Financial Operations	14,780	0.81	\$69,270		
Protective Service	5,490	0.80	\$46,340		
Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media	2,890	0.79	\$46,060		
Management	13,420	0.75	\$101,120		
Computer and Mathematical	5,980	0.64	\$78,890		
Legal	1,520	0.64	\$75,160		
Life, Physical, and Social Science	1,470	0.57	\$67,510		
Farming, Fishing, and Forestry	350	0.38	\$31,330		
Source: CEDBR, BLS - OEWS					

#### Wichita labor specialization

- Production
- Engineering
- Personal care
- Installation, maintenance

#### Location quotient

- 1 = US average
- Greater than 1 = high concentration
- Less than 1 = low concentration



## Softness within the labor market created more outflow



 As aerospace took off in 2017, the market captured a higher share of the interior flow.

**w**Su

## Aerospace opportunity flipped the outmigration issue

Net Annual Average Migration to KS Manufacturing Occupations -Top Ten						
Rank	MSA	2017	2018	2019	2020	Annual Average
1	Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	6	0	3	9	4.50
2	Columbia, MO	-1	0	1	6	1.50
3	Joplin, MO	-9	-9	11	13	1.50
4	Gadsden, AL	0	0	2	3	1.25
5	Fond du Lac, WI	1	1	1	1	1.00
6	McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, TX	2	-1	2	1	1.00
7	Buffalo-Cheektowaga, NY	1	1	0	2	1.00
8	Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH	0	-2	3	3	1.00
9	Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI	-1	4	1	-1	0.75
10	Jefferson City, MO	1	2	0	0	0.75
*Net	*Net migration calculated by count of workers from all sectors migrating to Kansas manufacturing jobs minus					

ecount of workers from Kansas manufacturing jobs migrating to all sectors in other areas.

Source: CEDBR, Census - LEHD - J2J

Net Annual Average Migration to KS Manufacturing Occupations - Bottom Ten						
Rank	MSA	2017	2018	2019	2020	Annual Average
1	Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	-23	-32	-29	-35	-29.75
2	St. Louis, MO-IL	-1	-17	-3	-20	-10.25
3	Denver-Aurora-Lakewood, CO	-11	-8	-10	-6	-8.75
4	Phoenix-Mesa-Chandler, AZ	-1	-9	-6	-15	-7.75
5	Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin, TN	-3	-9	-11	-2	-6.25
6	Oklahoma City, OK	-1	-8	-3	-12	-6.00
7	Tulsa, OK	4	-5	-8	-11	-5.00
8	Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI	-4	-7	-4	-3	-4.50
9	Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX	-4	-13	-2	4	-3.75
10	Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	-4	-2	-3	-5	-3.50
*Net migration calculated by count of workers from all sectors migrating to Kansas manufacturing jobs minus						
count of workers from Kansas manufacturing jobs migrating to all sectors in other areas.						
Sourc	Source: CEDBR, Census - LEHD - J2J					

- Aerospace, manufacturing, and regional markets are the source of net in-migration of manufacturing occupations.
- Top three communities Miami, Columbia, and Joplin.
- Bottom three communities Dallas-Forth Worth, St. Louis, Denver
- Outflows have historically been greater in magnitude than inflows among the top and bottom communities.



# Deeper dive into specific occupation types:

**Design, Oversight, and Evaluation Repair, Maintenance, Testing, and Support Fabrication and Assembly Aircraft Structure Assemblers Aerospace Engineers Avionics Technicians**  Direct and coordinate the design, Diagnose mechanical or electrical problems Read and understand schematics and manufacture, and testing of aircraft and blueprints Repair wings, brakes, electrical systems, and aerospace products other aircraft components Position or align components and parts either manually or with hoists Assess proposals for projects to determine if • Replace defective parts, using hand tools or they are technically and financially feasible • Use handtools or machines to assemble parts power tools • Determine if proposed projects will result in Examine replacement aircraft parts for Conduct quality control checks safe operations that meet the defined goals defects Clean and maintain work area and • Evaluate designs to see that the products • Read maintenance manuals to identify repair equipment, including tools meet engineering principles, customer procedures • Fit, fasten, and install parts of airplanes, requirements, and environmental regulations • Test aircraft parts with gauges and other missiles, or space vehicles, including the Develop acceptance criteria for design diagnostic equipment wings, landing gear, and heating and

- methods, quality standards, sustainment after delivery, and completion dates
- Ensure that projects meet quality standards
- Inspect malfunctioning or damaged products to identify sources of problems and possible solutions

- Inspect completed work to ensure that it meets performance standards
- Keep records of maintenance and repair work

ventilating systems.





## Top 15 Relevant Skills and Knowledge Sets:

### Knowledge

**Computers and Electronics** 

**Customer and Personal Service** 

Design

**Engineering and Technology** 

Mathematics Knowledge

Mechanical

Physics

**Production and Processing** 



Active Listening Complex Problem Solving Critical Thinking Operations Monitoring Quality Control Analysis Reading Comprehension Science





## Aerospace labor market competition

MSAs with Highest Concentration, Aerospace Engineers						
Rank	Metropolitan Area	Employment	<b>Location Quotient</b>	Annual Mean Wage		
1	California-Lexington Park, MD	1,120	60.30	\$120,160		
2	Huntsville, AL	3,340	36.13	\$122,880		
3	Boulder, CO	1,170	16.03	\$148,990		
4	Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	1,240	13.98	\$112,710		
5	Wichita, KS	1,510	13.20	\$108,960		
6	Crestview-Fort Walton Beach-Destin, FL	390	8.45	\$104,400		
7	Dayton, OH	1,040	7.29	\$113,120		
8	Las Cruces, NM	170	6.22	\$93,940		
9	Bakersfield, CA	650	5.23	\$116,150		
10	Colorado Springs, CO	600	5.18	\$130,440		
Source: CEDBR, BLS - OEWS						

MSAs with Highest Concentration, Avionics Technicians						
Rank	Metropolitan Area	Employment	<b>Location Quotient</b>	Annual Mean Wage		
1	California-Lexington Park, MD	140	22.76	\$83,930		
2	Wichita, KS	500	13.22	Not Available		
3	New Bern, NC	70	11.52	\$65,810		
4	Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	230	7.84	\$61,400		
5	Jacksonville, NC	40	6.54	\$64,000		
6	Greensboro-High Point, NC	240	5.27	\$53,600		
7	Ogden-Clearfield, UT	180	5.03	\$69,150		
8	Oklahoma City, OK	360	4.46	\$57,130		
9	Jacksonville, FL	380	4.09	\$60,570		
10	Tucson, AZ	200	4.04	\$65,450		
Source: CEDBR, BLS - OEWS						

MSAs with Highest Concentration, Aircraft Structure, Surfaces, Rigging, and Systems Assemblers						
Rank	Metropolitan Area	Employment	<b>Location Quotient</b>	Annual Mean Wage		
1	Wichita, KS	4,740	70.61	\$53,370		
2	Savannah, GA	1,050	25.02	\$62,660		
3	Tulsa, OK	1,060	10.75	\$46,540		
4	San Diego-Carlsbad, CA	1,150	3.51	\$46,760		
5	Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL	180	3.46	\$40,780		
6	Huntsville, AL	180	3.23	\$53,860		
7	Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	2,610	3.08	\$51,320		
8	Waco, TX	80	2.83	\$50,890		
9	Port St. Lucie, FL	80	2.35	\$40,980		
10	Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT	230	1.75	\$55,010		
Source: CEDBR, BLS - OEWS						

- Wichita is highly concentrated among the aerospace communities
- Aerospace engineers California-Lexington Park, Huntsville, and Boulder.
- Avionics technicians California-Lexington, New Bern, and Palm Bay
- Aircraft structure assemblers Savannah, Tulsa, and San Diego.





# Knowledge – Wichita's competitive ranking



- Production Knowledge of raw materials, production processes, QC, etc. for effective manufacturing and distribution
- Physics Understanding of principles, laws, and relationships to understand fluid, material, chemical, electrical, and atmospheric dynamics.
- Mechanical Machine/tool purpose, use, repair, and maintenance
- Design Techniques, tools, and principles for production of plans, blueprints, drawings, and models





## Knowledge – Wichita's competitive ranking



#### Quality control –

Conducting tests and inspections of products, services, or processes to evaluate quality or performance.

**Operations Monitoring** – Watching

gauges, dials, or other indicators to make sure a machine or system is working properly.





# Labor quality competitiveness – How does ICT rank?

٠

#### **Overall Aerospace Workforce Skill Ranking** Rank MSA 1 Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL 2 Wichita, KS 3 Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN 4 Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX 5 Mobile, AL 6 Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ 7 Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA 8 Oklahoma City, OK 9 Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim, CA

10 Hot Springs, AR

11 San Diego-Carlsbad, CA

Source: CEDBR, ONet and BLS - OEWS

- Palm Bay L3Harris, Northrop Grumman, and BAE Systems
  - Complementary production types (other defense, IT, C6ISR) create high skill/knowledge labor base
- **Cincinnati** Boeing and GE Aviation
  - Assembly of aerospace systems, not production
  - Primary production category: Medical appliances and instruments
- Dallas Lockheed Martin, Bell, Textron, and Raytheon
  - Many skill-complementary production types: machinery, electronics and integrated circuits, communications systems)





### Summary

- The Wichita labor market is optimized for the aerospace industry, which includes both core and non-core aerospace jobs.
- Prior to 2017, aerospace manufacturing workers were migrating out for opportunity.
- Now that aerospace is in an up-business cycle, the regional labor market is shifting to fit that demand
- Wichita was ranked second in overall labor quality, when measuring all aerospace related jobs
- Wichita has a competitive labor market edge based on the following
  - Knowledge: Production, Physics, Mechanical, and Design
  - Skills: Quality Control and Operations Monitoring



